



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

servatory which our Good Samaritan has seen fit to establish; and only then shall the discoverer make his observation generally known, when he shall have received acknowledgment from the director mentioned. Now, it is important for the proper observation of any new wanderer that the news of it should be sent about the world without delay. The earliest observations of a comet are of especial value in fixing its orbit, and may, with bad weather or other mishap, be the only ones. A well-organized system for the collecting and transmitting of such information exists, and it is surely to be regretted that any condition should be attached to a reward which shall interfere with the benefits to be derived from the success of the worthy investigator. Such a condition is that which requires the competitor for a Warner prize to send word to Rochester before he can give the information to the International association of observatories.

EVERY WORKER in a special field of scientific or technical study must from time to time feel depressed under the difficulty, indeed too often the impossibility, of keeping himself well informed on what the world is accomplishing even in his own narrow department; so rapid is the succession, and so wide the separation, of papers and books treating of his subject. At such times he can appreciate the value of well-prepared current bibliographic records. The geographer turns to the monthly lists in *Petermann's Mittheilungen*, or to the annual one published by the Berlin geographical society; the geologist has the *Neues Jahrbuch*, and would gladly refer to the *Geological Record* if it would only continue to appear in as good form as it began a few years ago; the zoölogist has his *Anzeiger*, *Record*, and *Jahresbericht*; and the chemist and the physicist are equally well cared for. But these extended lists are matters of provocation to many persons who cannot reach the books they name: for them a record is better suited that limits its selections by place instead of by subject, and gives a list of all kinds of publications on a certain geographic field. Two of these are

mentioned in our notes, and both suggest the value of a similar work for our own country. The scope of such a volume would be sufficient for the purposes of many of our readers, if it included a record of the title, and a brief mention of the contents, of every thing written concerning our physical and natural history year by year. If undertaken by a number of specialists, the work would not be too laborious, and it would surely find publisher and purchasers. Why should not the Smithsonian institution undertake it?

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR.

** * * Correspondents are requested to be as brief as possible. The writer's name is in all cases required as proof of good faith.*

Anthropos and anthropopithecus.

I AM glad that Professor Haynes, availing himself of my references, has refreshed his memory on de Mortillet. He will not again confound the age of St. Acheul with the axe of St. Acheul; and he and other readers of *Science* will now be aware that de Mortillet teaches that not man (the *anthropos*), but the man-ape (the *anthropopithecus*), was the representative of our species during most of the paleolithic period.

But why does the learned reviewer confine himself to the passages I pointed out to him? Why did he not turn to de Mortillet's work (p. 104), where he says, "*L'homme quaternaire ancien n'était pas le même que l'homme actuel*"? And where in the geologic horizon does de Mortillet place the arrival of *l'homme actuel*? Let any reader turn to the table of contents of the volume, and he will find that it is divided into three parts: 1. *L'homme tertiaire*; 2. *L'homme quaternaire*; 3. *L'homme actuel*. The last mentioned arrived, says the author, after a long and unexplained hiatus, *with the period of Robenhausen* (p. 485). Only in that period does de Mortillet concede to man his distinctive psychological traits of a language and a religion. Speaking of the very last of the Magdalenian period, he says, "*L'homme quaternaire était complètement dépourvu du sentiment de la religiosité.*"

D. G. BRINTON, M.D.

Dr. Brinton seems to be unfortunate in understanding de Mortillet's opinions, as well as in quoting his language correctly. Owing to the exigencies of space, 'the readers of *Science*' must be referred to the book itself, where they will find it stated that there is no conclusive proof that funeral practices prevailed in western Europe in quaternary times, and that such usages came into vogue there in the neolithic period. *Hinc illae lacrymae!* This is the sole foundation for Dr. Brinton's monstrous assertion "that de Mortillet teaches that not *man*, but the *man-ape*, was the representative of our species during most of the paleolithic period." De Mortillet's real views will be found summed up on the last page of his work, in twelve 'general conclusions,' so clearly and tersely 'that he who runs may read.'

HENRY W. HAYNES.

[A translation of this summary will appear in our next issue. — Ed.]